EXPOSITION OF THE EVIDENCE AGAINST SPIRO T. AGNEW ACCUMULATED BY THE INVESTIGATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND AS OF OCTOBER 10, 1973

INTRODUCTION

The following statement is respectfully submitted to the Court by the Government at the arraignment of Spiro T. Agnew. It constitutes a detailed recitation of the facts and evidence developed by the investigation to date, which establish in part the source of the unreported funds which constitute the basis of the charge filed today. The presentation of this statement in Court today was a material condition, requested by the Department of Justice, to the agreement reached between the Government and Mr. Agnew.

SUMMARY

I. The Relationship of Mr. Agnew, I. H. Hammerman, II and Jerome B. Wolff.

In the spring of 1967, shortly after Mr. Agnew had taken office as Governor of Maryland, he advised Hammerman that it was customary for engineers to make substantial cash payments in return for engineering contracts with the State of Maryland. Mr. Agnew instructed Hammerman to contact Wolff, then the new Chairman-Director of the Maryland State Roads Commission, to arrange for the establishment of an understanding pursuant to which Wolff wold notify Hammerman as to which engineering firms were in line for state contracts so that Hammerman could solicit and obtain from those engineering firms cash payments in consideration therefore.

Hammerman, as instructed, discussed the matter with Wolff, who was receptive but who requested that the cash payments to be elicited from the engineers be split in three equal shares among Agnew, Hammerman and Wolff.

Hammerman informed Mr. Agnew of Wolff's attitude; Mr. Agnew informed Hammerman that the split of the cash monies would be 50% for Mr. Agnew; 25% for Hammerman and 25% for Wolff. Hammerman carried that message to Wolff who agreed to that split.

The scheme outlined above was then put into operation. Over the course of the approximately 18 months of Mr. Agnew's remaining tenure as Covernor of Maryland, Hammerman made contact with approximately 8 engineering firms. Informed periodically by Wolff as to which engineering firms were in line to receive state contracts, Hammerman successfully elicited from 7 engineering firms substantial cash payments pursuant to understandings between Harmerman and the various engineers to whom he was talking that the substantial cash payments were in return for the state work being awarded to those engineering firms. The monies collected in that manner by Hammerman were split in accordance with the understanding earlier reached: 50% to Mr. Agnew, 25% to Hammerman and 25% to Wolff. An eighth engineer contacted by Hammerman flatly refused to make payments and, instead, complained -- first to his attorney and later to Governor Agnew himself -- about Hammerman's solicitation. Wolff, informed of the complaint, reduced the share of work being awarded to the complaining engineer, but decided not to cut that engineering firm off completely from state work for fear of further exacerbating the situation.

Wolff, as Chairman-Director of the Maryland State Roads Commission, made initial tentative decisions with regard to which engineering firms should be awarded which state contracts. Those tentative decisions would then be discussed by Wolff with Governor Agnew. Although Governor Agnew accorded Wolff's tentative decisions great weight, the Governor always exercised the final decisionmaking authority. Often Wolff would present the Governor with a list of engineering firms competent in Wolff's judgment for a state job, and the Governor would make the final selection of which particular firm would be awarded that job.

Hammerman also successfully solicited, at Governor Agnew's instruction a substantial cash payment from a financial institution in return for that institution's being awarded a major role in the financing of a large issue of state bonds.

11. The Relationship Between Mr. Agnew and Allen Green.

Shortly after Mr. Agnew's election in November, 1966, as Governor of Maryland, he complained to Allen Green, principal of a large engineering firm, about the financial burdens to be imposed upon Mr. Agnew by his role as Governor. Green responded by saying that his company had benefited from state work and had been able to generate some cash funds from which he would be willing to provide Mr. Agnew with some financial assistance. Mr. Agnew indicated that he would be grateful for such assistance.

Beginning shortly thereafter, Green delivered to Mr. Agnew six to nine times a year an envelope containing between \$2,000 and \$3,000 in cash. Green's purpose was to elicit from the Agnew administration as much state work for his engineering firm as possible. That purpose was clearly understood by Governor Agnew both because Green occasionally expressed his appreciation to the Governor for state work being received by his company and because Green frequently asked for and often received from the Governor assurances that his company would get further state work, including specific jobs.

Between Mr. Agnew's election and inauguration as Vice President,
Wolff contacted Green, at Mr. Agnew's instruction, for the purpose of preparing
for Mr. Agnew a detailed written computation of the work and fees which had
been awarded to Green's company by Governor Agnew's administration. After
assisting Wolff in the preparation of such a compilation, Green subsequently
met with Mr. Agnew, who noted that Green's company had received a lot of work
from Governor Agnew's administration and stated that he was glad that things
had worked out that way. Mr. Agnew then went on to complain about the continuin
financial burden which would be imposed upon him by his position as Vice
President and to express the hope that Green would not stop his financial
assistance to Mr. Agnew. To Green's surprise, Mr. Agnew went on to state
expressly that he hoped to be able to be helpful to Green with respect to the
awarding of Federal engineering contracts to Green's company.

As a result of that conversation, Green continued to make cash payments to Vice President Agnew three or four times a year up to and including December, 1972. These payments were usually about \$2,000 each. The payments were made both in Mr. Agnew's Vice Presidential office and at his residence in the Sheraton-Park Hotel, Washington, D.C. The payments were not discontinued until after the initiation of the Baltimore County investigation by the U.S. Attorney for the District of Maryland in January, 1973.

III. The Relationship Between Mr. Agnew and Lester Matz.

Lester Matz, a principal in another large engineering firm, began making corrupt payments while Mr. Agnew was County Executive of Baltimore County in the early 1960s. In those days, Matz paid 5% of his fees from Baltimore County contracts in cash to Mr. Agnew through one of Mr. Agnew's close associates.

After Mr. Agnew became Governor of Maryland, Matz decided to make his payments directly to Governor Agnew. He made no payments until the summer of 1968 when he and his partner calculated that they owed Mr. Agnew approximatel \$20,000 in consideration for the work which their firm had already received from the Covernor's administration. The \$20,000 in cash was generated in an illegal manner and was given by Matz to Governor Agnew in a manila envelope in Governor Agnew's office on or about July 16, 1968. In handing the envelope to Governor Agnew, Matz expressed his appreciation for the substantial amounts of state work his company had been receiving and told the Governor that the envelope contained the money that Matz owed to the Governor in connection with that work.

Matz made no further corrupt payments to Mr. Agnew until shortly after Mr. Agnew became Vice President, at which time Matz calculated that he owed Mr. Agnew approximately \$10,000 more from jobs and fees which the Matz firm had received from Governor Agnew's administration since July, 1968. After generating \$10,000 in cash in an illegal manner, Matz met with Mr. Agnew in the Vice President's office and gave him approximately \$10,000 in cash in an envelope. Matz informed the Vice President at that meeting that the envelope

firm by Governor Agnew's administration and that more such monies would be owed and paid in the future. Matz did make several subsequent payments to the Vice President; he believes that he paid an additional \$5,000 to Mr. Agnew in cash.

In or around April, 1971, Matz made a cash payment to Vice President Agnew of \$2,500 in return for the awarding by the General Services

Administration of a contract to a small engineering firm in which Matz had a financial ownership interest. An intermediary was instrumental in the arrangement for that particular corrupt payment.

FULL EXPOSITION

- I. THE RELATIONSHIP OF MR. ACNEW, I. H. HAMMERMAN, II AND JEROME B. WOLFF
- I. H. Hammerman, II is a highly successful real estate developer and mortgage banker. He has entered into a formal written agreement with the Government, pursuant to which he has tendered his complete cooperation to the Government with respect to the present investigation. Under the terms of this agreement Hammerman will plead guilty to a charge of violating a felony provision of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result of that plea, Mr. Hammerman will be exposed to a maximum sentence of three years in prison. In return, the Government has agreed not to charge Mr. Hammerman with any other crime relating to the subject matter of this investigation and to bring his cooperation to the attention of the Court at the time of his sentencing. The Government has not agreed to make any specific recommendation with respect to the period of incarceration, if any, to which the Government believes it would be appropriate for Mr. Hammerman to be sentenced, and, in particular, the Government has made no representation to Mr. Hammerman that it will recommend to the Court that he be placed on probation.

Jerome B. Wolff is an engineer and also an attorney. He is the

President of Greiner Environmental Systems, Inc. Wolff has tendered his complete

cooperation to the Government in the present investigation. The Government has not entered into any agreement with Wolff as to what consideration, if any, he may expect in return for his cooperation, other than the assurance that his own truthful disclosures to the Government will not be used against him in any criminal prosecution.

At the Government's request, both Hammerman and Wolff have executed sworn written statements that recount their relationships with Mr. Agnew. Their testimony, the corroborative testimony of other witnesses, and various corroborative documents, would prove the following:

Hammerman has known Spiro T. Agnew for many years. When Mr. Agnew ran for Baltimore County Executive in 1962, however, Hammerman actively supported his opponent. The day after the election, Hammerman called to congratulate Mr. Agnew and asked to see him. They met in Hammerman's office and again Hammerman congratulated Mr. Agnew on his victory. Hammerman told Mr. Agnew that he knew all campaigns had deficits, and he offered Mr. Agnew a post-election contribution of \$10,000. Mr. Agnew refused, but he told Hammerman that he would expect a contribution three times as large when he ran for office again.

Executive, he and Hammerman developed a close, personal friendship. During this period and continuing up until early 1973, they often discussed Mr. Agnew's personal financial situation. Mr. Agnew complained about it, and told Hammerman that he had not accumulated any wealth before he assumed public office, had no inheritance, and as a public official received what he considered a small salary. Mr. Agnew believed, moreover, that his public position required him to adopt a standard of living beyond his means and that his political ambitions required him to build a financially strong political organization. During the period when he was County Executive, Hammerman entertained him, introduced him to substantial political contributors, and gave him substantial gifts.

At the outset of the 1966 Maryland gubernatorial campaign, Manneem non found himself in a difficult situation. Some of his closest business associates were involved in the Democratic candidates' campaign, but Mr. Agnew insisted that Hammerman choose between them and him. Hammerman decided actively to support Mr. Agnew, contributed \$25,000, and raised an even larger amount in campaign funds for Mr. Agnew. Hammerman was one of Mr. Agnew's financial chairmen and devoted considerable time, energy, and money to his campaign. After he became Governor and later Vice-President, Hammerman continued to entertain him, travel with him, and provide him with other financial benefits. These benefits were not related to the monies discussed below.

In the late 1950's, while Wolff was Deputy Chief Engineer and later Assistant Director of Public Works for Baltimore County, Mr. Agnew became a member of the Baltimore County Board of Zoning Appeals. Mr. Agnew and Wolff became acquainted as a result of Wolff's appearances as a witness before the Board.

Wolff left employment with the County approximately six months after Mr. Agnew took office as County Executive. Mr. Agnew and he became good friends between 1963 and 1967 while Wolff was in business as a consulting engineer, and Wolff became an unofficial advisor to him. Mr. Agnew arranged for him to receive contracts from the County. Wolff greatly admired Mr. Agnew, and believed that Mr. Agnew was sincerely attempting, with considerable success, to do a good job as County Executive.

Friends in the consulting business asked Wolff, while Mr. Agnew was County Executive, how much Wolff was paying for the engineering work that he was receiving from Baltimore County. They seemed to assume that he was paying, as it was well known in the business community that engineers generally, and the smaller engineering firms in particular, had to pay in order to obtain contracts from the County in those days. Only a few of the larger and well established firms were generally considered to be immune from this requirement.

understanding of the experience of others, that engineering firms generally have to struggle for 10 to 15 years in order to become established. During this period, and for some time thereafter, they generally make payments—sometimes through middlemen—to public officials at various levels of government throughout Maryland in order to receive public work. Sometimes they reach a point where they are sufficiently established as qualified engineers that they do not generally have to make illegal payments in order to obtain a fair share of the public work.

Agnew's (referred to hereafter as "the close associate" or "the middleman") was his principal middleman in Baltimore County. The close associate courted engineers, developers, and others and bragged a great deal about his relationship with Mr. Agnew. Although Wolff was in a favored position with Mr. Agnew, on two or more occasions while Mr. Agnew was County Executive, the close associate requested money from Wolff in return for contracts Wolff wanted or had obtained from the County. Wolff paid him \$1,250 in cash in April 1966, and in addition made a payment to another associate of Mr. Agnew's, ostensibly as legal fees. Wolff's present recollection is that he also made one or two other payments to the close associate.

It was Wolff's belief that another individual also acted as a middleman for Mr. Agnew. Wolff learned from others that a certain Baltimore engineer was paying for work through that other individual. It is Wolff's recollection that in his office, Mr. Agnew once remarked to Wolff that the engineer in question was paying 10% for the work that he received from the County. Wolff inferred from Mr. Agnew's comment that Mr. Agnew was surprised that that engineer was paying as much as 10%, in view of the fact that the going rate was generally 5%. Through

conversations with still another engineer, Wolff learned that he also was making proments for County work.

Puwing Mr. Agnew's 1966 campaign for Covernor, Wolff gave him \$1,000 in cash as a campaign contribution. Wolff also worked in Mr. Agnew's campaign. Wolff knew that he had a potential personal stake in Mr. Agnew's candidacy, as Mr. Agnew had sometime earlier indicated to him the possibility that he might appoint Wolff as Chairman-Director of the State Roads Commission if Mr. Agnew were elected Governor.

Wolff had first become acquainted with Hammerman during the period when Wolff had been an assistant engineer employed by the Baltimore County Public Works Department. Hammerman considered Wolff to be a brilliant engineer, and Wolff had handled in an efficient manner various problems that Hammerman had had with County agencies in connection with Hammerman's building ventures. A close personal friendship had developed between them. Hammerman had been so impressed with Wolff that he had advised him that if he ever decided to leave County government, Hammerman would retain him as the engineer for his building projects. After Wolff had left County government in 1963 and established his own engineering business, he had done virtually all of Hammerman's engineering work.

After his election as Governor, Mr. Agnew told Hammerman that he intended to appoint Wolff Chairman-Director of the Maryland State Roads Commission. Hammerman objected strenuously because he wanted to retain Wolff's engineering services. Mr. Agnew responded, however, that Hammerman should not be too upset about Wolff's appointment because, Mr. Agnew told Hammerman, "You won't lose by it."

On or about March 1, 1967, Wolff took office as Governor

Agnew's appointee as the Chairman-Director of the State Roads Commission.

Governor Agnew had Wolff monitor every consulting engineering and construction contract that came through the State. It became obvious to

Wolff that, in view of the provisions of State Roads Commission legislation, he would in effect control the selection of engineers and architects for contracts to be awarded by the State Roads Commission, subject only to the ultimate decision-making authority of Governor Agnew.

Shortly after Wolff took office, Governor Agnew asked Hammerman to come to his office in Annapolis, Maryland. At this meeting, Governor Agnew advised Hammerman that there was in Maryland a long-standing "system," as he called it, under which engineers made substantial "cash contributions" in return for State contracts awarded through the State Roads Commission.

Covernor Agnew referred to the substantial political financial demands that would be made on both himself and Hammerman, and said, in effect, that those who were benefitting (the engineers) should do their share.

Governor Agnew said that Hammerman could help him by collecting cash payments from the engineers, and told him to meet with Wolff to set things up.

Cussion he had had with Governor Agnew. Wolff readily agreed to participate, and suggested that the payments be equally divided among the Governor, Wammerman, and Wolff. Hammerman then met again with the Governor and told him of the suggested division of the payments. Governor Agnew at first replied that he did not see why Wolff should receive any share of the money, but he agreed to a division as long as he received 50% of the total payments. He told Hammerman that he didn't care what Hammerman did with his share.

Hammerman went back to Wolff and told him that Mr. Agnew insisted on 50% of the money and that Hammerman and Wolff should equally divide the rest between themselves. Wolff agreed.

Over the course of the subsequent 18 or 20 months that Mr. Agnew served as Covernor of Maryland, the scheme agreed/by Mr. Agnew, Hammerman, and Walff was fully implemented. Wolff kept Hammerman informed as to which engi meets were to receive state contracts and Hammerman kept Wolff informed as to which engineers were making cash payments. It was soon generally understood among engineers that Hammerman was the person to see in connection with State Roads engineering contracts. As a result Hammerman soon found himself meeting with individual representatives of certain engineering firms. They would inform Hammerman of their interest in obtaining state work, and Hammerman would reply that he would see what he could do. In some cases an engineer would specify the particular work in which he was interested; in most cases, the engineer would not specify any particular job. There was no need for Hammerman to make coarse demands or to issue threats because the engineers clearly indicated that they knew what was expected of them. The discussions were generally about "political contributions," but the conversations left no doubt that the engineers understood exactly how the system worked-that is, that cash payments to the Governor through Hammerman were necessary in order for their companies to receive substantial state contracts. The "contributions" were almost always in cash, and rany of them were made when there was no campaign in progress. Although Wolff had told Hammerman that "contributions" should average between 3% and 5% of the contract amount, Hammerman did not specify any exact amount to be paid, and accepted any reasonable sum. Sometimes the "contribution" was made when the contract was awarded, sometimes as the engineer received payments on the contract Sometimes the "contribution" was made in one payment, sometimes in several. When a contract was about to be awarded to one of the engineers who was known to be willing to make payments, Wolff would advise Hammerman that the engineer had been selected for a certain job. Hammerman would then contact the engineer and congratulate him. These congratulations were intended as signals that a cash "contribution" was due, and the engineer would then meet with Hammerman and bring the money.

Pursuant to his understanding with Mr. Agnew and Wolff, Harmerman retained 25% of the payments and delivered to Wolff his 25% share. Hammerman generally held Mr. Agnew's 50% share in a safe-deposit box until Mr. Agnew called for it. From time to time Mr. Agnew would call Hammerman and ask how many "papers" Earmerman had for him. It was understood between Mr. Agnew and Hammerman that the term "paper" referred to \$1,000 in cash. Hammerman would tell Mr. Agnew how many "papers" he had, and Mr. Agnew would ask Hammerman to bring the "papers" to him. Hammerman would then collect the cash from his safe-deposit box and personally deliver it to Mr. Agnew in his office in Annapolis or in Baltimore or wherever else Mr. Agnew would designate.

The cash which Wolff received from Hammerman was initially kept in Wolff's home. It was then transferred to two, and later, three safe-deposit boxes, two in Baltimore, and one in Washington. Most of the money was spent on ordinary personal expenses over a period of more than four years. A small portion of it was used by Wolff to make payments to other public officials in order to obtain work for the two consulting firms which he had sold before he had become Chairman of the State Roads Commission, but in which he still had a financial interest. Wolff kept detailed contemporaneous documents on which he recarded the dates, amounts, and engineering firm sources of the monies that he recaived from Hammerman as his share of the proceeds of the scheme. These records are among a large volume of corroborative documents that Wolff has turned over to the United States Attorney's Office.

The selection process for state roads contracts generally worked in the following manner: usually, based upon previous discussions with Governor Agnew, Wolff would make preliminary decisions with regard to the consulting engineering and architectural firms to be awarded contracts. He would then obtain the approval of the State Roads Commission. Governor Agnew would then make the final decision.

During Mr. Agnew's tenure as Governor of Maryland, Wolff met with him from time to time to discuss the status of various projects and the decisions which had to be made with respect to engineering, management, and sometimes architectural contracts. Wolff generally prepared agendas for these meetings in advance. Governor Agnew appeared to have confidence in Wolff's technical ability and generally accorded substantial weight to Wolff's preliminary decisions as to which consulting firms should be awarded contracts, generally concurring with Wolff's selection. Where important or unique projects were involved, Wolff would present Governor Agnew with a list of several possible firms from which Governor Agnew would select the frim to be awarded the contract. Governor Agnew always had and from time to time exercised the power to make all final decisions.

Several factors influenced Wolff in his own decision-making in the selection process outlined above:

1) It was a basic premise of Wolff's selection process that an engineering firm had to be competent to do the work before it could even be considered for a contract. Any engineering firm which, in Wolff's judgment, was competent to perform a certain assignment might be given consideration.

- ask Wolff to give special consideration to a particular engineering firm, which might or might not be making cash payments, and he would then try to to so. He remembers, for example, that the Governor on one or more occasions asked him to give work to two specific engineering firms. Harmerman also recommended to Wolff presumably because of Harmerman's friendship with one or more particular engineers, that work be given to at least one company that, according to Wolff's understanding, had not made any cash payments.
- matter that he discussed with Hammerman in particular) was intended to avoid substantial and noticeable deviations from general fairness—that is, he tried to avoid a situation in which any firm received more or less work than could be justified on a purely legitimate basis. Wolff always viewed the process as one of accomplishing competent public work for the State of Maryland, very similar to that which would have been accomplished if all the selections had been made strictly on their merits, while at the same time serving the mutual ends of Mr. Agnew, Hammerman, and himself.

Wolff believed it was important not to deviate too obviously from the appearance of fairness and even-handedness in his selections of engineers. For example, he became aware—he believes initially as a result of a conversation he had with Governor Agnew—that Hammerman had apparently approached a certain engineer to solicit cash payments in connection with potential state work, and that the engineer had complained to Governor Agnew that state contracts should not be awarded on this basis. The Governor was very upset, as Wolff understood it, because

Hammerman had apparently been especially heavy-handed with the engineer, and apparently because the Governor felt that the engineer might make his complaint public. For these reasons, Wolff continued thereafter to give the engineer's firm some work.

The investigation has also established that the same engineer also complained to his attorney, a close personal friend of Mr. Agnew's, about Hammerman's solicitation. Shortly after the engineer had complained to his attorney, and several months before the engineer complained directly to Mr. Agnew, the attorney met with Mr. Agnew and gave him a detailed account of Hammerman's solicitation and of his client's outrage. He warned Mr. Agnew that Hammerman's activities rould undermine all that the attorney believed Governor Agnew was attempting to accomplish. Although he indicated that he would look into the matter, Mr. Agnew never reported back to the attorney. He did several months later meet personally with the engineer, at the attorney's insistence, but the investigation has established that Mr. Agnew did nothing whatever to stop Mr. Hammerman's continuing solicitations of cash payments from engineers in return for state work and that he (Mr. Agnew) continued for several years thereafter to accept his 50% share of those cash payments.

4) The fact that a certain firm was making cash payments was a definite factor in that firm's favor. It was, therefore, accorded special consideration in the decision-making process. Wolff believes that a comparison of the amounts of work given to certain firms before, during and after Covernor Agnew's Administration would confirm this.

On the other hand, there were times when a firm was selected for a specific job without regard to whether or not that firm was making cash payments. Some local Maryland firms had outstanding expertise in certain fields of engineering. This made them obvious choices for

certain jobs, whether or not they were making cash payments. Even such firms, however, could never be completely sure that such considerations would be decisive in the decision-making process, so that even some of those companies were vulnerable to solicitations for cash payments.

firms or individuals in the selection process. For example, Wolff definitely favored Lester Matz and Allen Green, and their companies, not only become he understood they were making cash payments directly to the Governor, but also because Wolff was receiving money from certain illegal dealings that he had with Matz and Green that did not involve Governor Agnew. Conversely, one engineering firm was disfavored by Wolff because in his view that firm had taken positions contrary to the best interests of the Commission.

The evidence accumulated to date, both testimonial and documentary, establishes that Hammerman obtained, and split with Mr. Agnew and Wolff, cash payments from seven different engineering firms in return for State engineering contracts, and from one financial institution in return for a lucrative arrangement with the State involving the financing of certain State bonds. Those seven engineering firms and the one financial institution will not be named in this statement in order to avoid possible prejudice to several presently anticipated prosecutions. It is worth noting, however, that Hammerman specifically recalls discussing with Mr. Agnew whether or not the particular financial institution would be awarded the lucrative State bond business, and that during that discussion Mr. Agnew commented that the principals at the particular financial institution in question were "a cheap bunch" who "don't give you any money." Mr. Agnew informed Hammerman that he did not intend to award that institution the bond business in question unless a substantial "contribution" were made. Hammerman carried that message to the appropriate person; a substantial cash "contribution" was made; the institution got the bond business.

Hammerman also remembers that, while Mr. Agnew was Governor,
Hammerman observed that Allen Green and Lester Matz, two engineers whom
he had known for some time, were receiving very substantial amounts of
State Roads work. Hammerman mentioned that fact to Wolff and, since he
had not received any money from Green and Matz, asked Wolff if he should
approach them. Both Green and Matz had indicated to Wolff that they were

making their payments directly to the Governor. Wolff therefore told
Hammerman that both Green and Matz were making "contributions" and that
Hammerman should "stay away." Hammerman did so.

It is Wolff's understanding and belief that both Green and Matz continued to make cash payments directly to Mr. Agnew after he had become Vice-President. Wolff bases this conclusion on conversations that he has had with Both Green and Matz since January, 1969, in which each of them has indicated to Wolff that he had made payments directly to the Vice-President.

At a certain point, which Wolff believes was after Mr. Agnew's election as Vice-President in November, 1968, but prior to his unauguration as Vice-President on January 20, 1969, Mr. Agnew asked Wolff to determine the details of payments that had been made by the State Roads Commission under his administration to the engineering company owned and operated by Allen Green. Wolff then discussed this request with Green, who subsequently prepared a list that he submitted to Wolff. Wolff then prepared a final list, a copy or duplicate of which he gave to Mr. Agnew. When Wolff handed Mr. Agnew the list, thany did not discuss it to any extent, according to Wolff's present recollection. Mr. Agnew just put it away.

Wolff would testify that much of his understanding concerning Mr.

Agnew's actions and reactions to specific situations was inferential, since he and Mr. Agnew did not discuss Wolff's relationship with Hammerman or others or the fact that he and Mr. Agnew were acting, either jointly or individually, in a corrupt manner. Wolff believes his relationship with Mr. Agnew flourished because of their mutual sensitivity to their own positions and their mutual respect for one another. He does recall, however, an occasion on which he was in the Governor's office in the State House. Governor Agnew and he were standing in front of the fireplace after a meeting, and the Governor said to Wolff in substance: "Look after yourself but be careful."

The Relationship Between Mr. Agnew and Allen Green

Allen Green is the President and one of the principal owners of Green Associates, Inc., a Maryland engineering company which has, over the years, performed various types of engineering work.

Green has signed a formal written agreement with the Government under which he has agreed to plead guilty to a criminal felony violation of the Internal Revenue Code that will expose him to a maximum sentence of three years in prison. He has given the Government his complete cooperation in this investigation. In return, the Government has promised him that he will not be prosecuted for any offense related to this investigation other than the one to which he will plead guilty, and that at his sentencing the Government will bring his cooperation to the attention of the Court. The Government has expressly refused to promise Green that it will recommend to the Court at his sentencing that he be placed on probation.

At the Government's request, Green has executed a sworn written statement detailing his relationship with Mr. Agnew. Green's testimony, the corroborative testimony of other witnesses, and various corroborative documents would prove the following:

Green has been an engineer in Maryland for 21 years. During this period, he has often made cash payments on behalf of his company in return for various State and local consulting contracts and in order to remain eligible for further contracts. He used cash for the simple reason that checks could have been traced and might have led to the discovery of these illegal payments. These payments formed a pattern over the years and reflected his understanding, based upon experience, of the system in which a firm such as his had to participate in order to insure its survival and growth in the State of Maryland. This system had developed long ago in Maryland and in other States as well. Engineering contracts have not been awarded on the basis

Roads contracts has rested exclusively in the discretion of public officials

--in Maryland, the Governor and the members of the State Roads Commission.

They have had virtually absolute control. There are many engineering companies which seek contracts, but price competition was not allowed under the ethical standards of this profession until October of 1971. Therefore, engineers are very vulnerable to pressure from public officials for both legal and illegal payments. An engineer who refuses to pay can be deprived of substantial public work without effective recourse, and one—who pays can safely expect that he will be rewarded.

A few companies developed in time a size, expertise, and stature that insulated them to some extent from this system. One or two developed an expertise, for example, in large bridge design, that other local companies could not match. One or two grew so large and had been awarded so many substantial contracts that the State could not do without their services unless out-of-state consultants were employed. In these ways, a few companies in effect "graduated" in time from the system to a position of lesser vulnerability, and they could afford to resist and perhaps in some instances, refuse to participate. In fact, Green believed that his own company was in recent years in the process of moving into this class.

It was seldom necessary, in Green's experience, for there to be any express prior agreement between an engineer and a public official in Maryland. Under this system, which each State administration perpetuated, the connection between payments and contracts rested on a largely tacit understanding under which engineers knew that if they did not pay, they would not receive very many contracts and that if they did pay, they would receive favored treatment. Therefore, when a politician requested a payment or when an engineer offered one, it was not necessary for anyone expressly to refer to the connection between payments and contracts because everyone understood the system, and could rely upon it without actually talking about it.

Green came to know Spiro T. Agnew in mid-1963 when Mr. Agnew was the County Executive for Baltimore County, Maryland. Although his company received some engineering contracts from the County, Green does not recall making any cash payments to Mr. Agnew or to anyone in his administration during these years. Green cultivated his relationship with Mr. Agnew and occasionally had lunch with him. By 1966, they had developed a closer relationship.

In connection with Mr. Agnew's successful 1966 campaign for Governor, Green gave him approximately \$8,000 to \$10,000 in campaign contributions. He did so in part because he genuinely admired Mr. Agnew and believed that he would make an excellent Governor. He also knew, however, that Mr. Agnew would be grateful for his support, and he anticipated that Mr. Agnew would express his gratitude by giving the Green company State work if he were elected.

After the inauguration, Green met with Governor Agnew on several occasions in his new offices, usually in Baltimore, but sometimes in Annapolis. At one of these meetings Governor Agnew expressed his concern about the substantial financial obligations and requirements imposed upon him by virtue of his new position. He told Green that as the titular leader of the Republican Party in Maryland, he would need substantial funds in order to support his own political organization. In addition, he believed that he would be called upon to provide financial assistance to other Republican candidates around the State. Furthermore, he complained that it was extremely difficult for a person in his limited financial situation to bear the personal expenses of high public office, in the sense that his new position would require him, he believed, to adopt and maintain a life style that was beyond his means. He said that he had served as County Executive at substantial financial sacrifice because of the small salary and that, although the Governor's salary represented an increase in income, it would still be insufficient to meet the additional demands that he believed his new position would impose upon him. This was neither the

first nor the last occasion upon which Mr. Agnew mentioned to Green his concern about his personal financial difficulties. He had voiced similar complaints while County Executive, and he continued from time to time to mention his personal financial difficulties thereafter.

Green inferred from what Mr. Agnew said, the manner in which he said it, and their respective positions that he was being invited in a subtle but clear way to make payments. He, therefore, replied that he recognized Mr. Agnew's financial problems and realized he was not a wealthy man. Green told him that his company had experienced successful growth and would probably continue to benefit from public work under the Agnew Administration. He, therefore, offered to make periodic cash payments to Governor Agnew, who replied that he would appreciate such assistance very much.

On the basis of Green's experience, he had developed a policy that, where required, he would make payments in amounts that did not exceed an average of one per cent of the fees that his company received on public engineering contracts. This informal calculation included legitimate political contributions as well as cash payments. He knew that many politicians believed that engineers were wealthy and often demanded payments in much greater amounts, frequently five per cent and sometimes higher. Although he believed that some engineers made payments in these amounts, he knew that such percentages were unrealistic, given the economics of the engineering industry. An engineering firm could not, in his judgment, make a profit on public work if payments in these excessive percentages were made. He had come to the conclusion that his company could not afford to pay more than one per cent and, in areas where more was demanded, he had simply refused to pay and had sought work elsewhere.

Therefore, Green calculated, largely in his head, that it would be appropriate for him to make approximately six payments a year to Mr. Agnew in amounts of \$2,000, \$2,500, or \$3,000 each. The exact amount of each payment to Mr. Agnew depended upon the amount of cash available

which this subject had first been discussed, Green scheduled appointments with Fovernor Agnew approximately six times a year. At the first such meeting, he handed an envelope to Covernor Agnew that contained between \$2,000 and \$3,000 in cash. Green told the Governor that he was aware of his financial problems and wished to be of assistance to him. Governor Agnew accepted the envelope, placed it in either his desk drawer or his coat pocket, and expressed his gratitude. Over the next two years, they gradually said less and less to each other about each payment; Green would merely hand him an envelope and Governor Agnew would place it in either his desk drawer or his coat pocket with little or no discussion about it.

During these meetings, Green and Governor Agnew would discuss a number of matters, but Green almost always made it a point to discuss State Roads contracts with him. Indeed, Green's principal purpose in meeting with him was always to increase the amount of work that his company received from the State. They would discuss State contracts in general, and frequently, specific upcoming road and bridge contracts in particular. Green would express his desire that his company receive consideration for proposed work and would occasionally ask for specific contracts that he knew were scheduled to be awarded by the State Roads Commission. Grean knew from experience and Wolff that Covernor Agnew played a substanfrom what he learned from tial role in the selection of engineers for State Roads Commission work. Governor Agnew would often tell him in these meetings that his company could expect to receive substantial work generally, and on occasion, he promised Green specific contracts. On other occasions, however, Governor Agnew would tell Green that a contract had already been or was to be committed to another company.

Green admits that his principal purpose in making payments to Governor Agnew was to influence him to select the Green Company for as many State Roads contracts as possible. Based upon his many years of experience, it was his belief that such payments would probably be necessary and certainly helpful in obtaining substantial amounts of State Roads Commission work.

With one exception (to be related later in this statement).

Mr. Agnew never expressly stated to Green that there was any connection
between the payments and the selection of the Green company for State
contracts. According to Green, the understanding was a tacit one, based
upon their respective positions and their mutual recognition of the
realities of the system; their relationship was such that it was unnecessary
for them to discuss openly the understanding under which these payments
were given and received. The circumstances were that Green gave Governor
Agnew cash payments in substantial amounts and asked for contracts, and
from time to time, Governor Agnew told him that contracts would be awarded
to the Green company.

Green paid Governor Agnew approximately \$11,000 in each of the years he served as Governor of Maryland (1967 and 1968). Green generated time necessary cash to make these payments through his company by various means that violated the Internal Revenue Code and that were designed to obscure the purpose for which the cash was used.

Administration, the Governor occasionally asked him to evaluate the competency of certain engineering companies which he was considering for State Roads Commission work. On at least one occasion, the Governor also asked him if certain companies could be counted upon to provide financial assistance if State work were received.

Under the Agnew Administration, the Green company received substantial work from the Maryland State Roads Commission. It was awarded approximately 10 contracts, with fees approximating \$3,000,000 to \$4,000,000.

On a few occasions during these years, Green was asked by Jerome B. Wolff if he was taking care of his "obligations" with respect to the substantial State work that the Green company was receiving and Green replied that he was.

Green saw little or nothing of Governor Agnew between his nomination as the Republican candidate for Vice President in the summer of 19768 and the election in November. He made some campaign contributions by theck to the Nixon-Agnew ticket in the 1968 election.

In November or December 1968, after Mr. Agnew was elected Vice President, but before his inauguration, Wolff came to Green with a 1.13: That he had prepared of the contracts that the Green company had raceived from the State Roads Commission under the Agnew Administration. Wolff told Green that Governor Agnew had asked him to prepare the list, and Green concluded that the list had been requested and could possibly be used as a means of assessing what he owed to Governor Agnew in return for those contracts. Wolff and Green discussed the contracts and fees and, in effect, bargained about the matter. Green argued that some of the contracts that appeared on the list had in fact been awarded to his company under the Tawes Administration and that the Agnew Administration was simply implementing a contract for which the selection had been made previously. Wolff, however, reminded him that the Agnew Administration could have cancelled at least some of the contracts, or could have awarded portions of the contracts to other firms. Subsequently, Green prepared a revised list of his own and submitted it to Wolff.

Sometime thereafter, but still before the inauguration, Green met with the Vice President-elect in his Baltimore Governor's office.

He gave Mr. Agnew a payment during the meeting. Mr. Agnew began the conversation by making some reference to the list and indicated that the Green company had received a lot of work from the State Roads Commission.

Mr. Agnew said that he was glad that things had worked out that way. He then reiterated that he had been unable to improve his financial situation during his two years as Governor and that although his salary as Vice President would be higher than his salary as Governor, he expected that the social and other demands of the office would substantially increase his personal expenses. For these reasons, he said he hoped that Green would be able to continue the financial assistance that he had been providing

to hiw over the preceding two years, and, Mr. Agnew continued, he hoped he could be helpful to Green with respect to Federal work. This was the only occasion upon which Green can now recall that Mr. Agnew made any such express statement to him about the connection between payments and favors. Green did not believe that it was necessary expressly to refer to specific favors in return for payments. Indeed, throughout Mr. Agnew's gubernatorial tenure, it had never been necessary to state expressly that Green would receive anything in return for the payments that he had made, because a tacit understanding on this matter was more than sufficient to satisfy Green and to accomplish his purposes.

to continue to be of financial assistance, but that he would be willing to continue to be of financial assistance, but that he was not certain that he could continue to make payments in amounts as great as those he had made during the previous two years. Green knew that contracts awarded by the Agnew Administration would generate income to his company over the next several years, and that therefore he could continue to make payments for several years. Green also hoped that his company's Federal work might increase in amount as a result of Vice President Agnew's efforts on his behalf. He did tell Mr. Agnew of one important concern: that the new administration in Annapolis might take credit for, and possibly decand payments in connection with, projects that had actually been awarded to the Green company by the Agnew Administration. Mr. Agnew, however, confidently indicated that he did not believe that would happen.

Green continued to make cash payments to Mr. Agnew after he Lecame Vice President. Payments were made three or four times a year and were personally delivered to Mr. Agnew by Green either in the Vice President's office in the Executive Office Building in Washington, or at his mpartment in the Sheraton Park Hotel in Washington. Green made his last progrent during the Christmas season in December of 1972. As Green recalls it, these payments invariably amounted to \$2,000 each. As before, the morey was always in a plain envelope, and the two men were always alone when the payment was made.

money to Mr. Agnew in his offices in the Executive Office Building. Green was quite impressed with Mr. Agnew's office and position and felt very uncomfortable about the transaction that was about to occur. In addition, Green had some concern that the conversation between him and Vice President Agnew night be overheard or even taped. For all of these reasons, Green did not believe that it was appropriate or wise to continue to speak of personal fixancial assistance. Therefore, he stated to the Vice President that this money was part of his continuing and unfulfilled commitment to Mr. Agnew with respect to "political contributions." Thereafter, Green usually made a similar statement when he delivered money to Mr. Agnew in his Executive Office Building offices. Green recalls that on the first occasion be made such a statement to Mr. Agnew, Green raised his eyes to the ceiling in order silently to suggest to Mr. Agnew the reason for the unusual and inaccurate statement.

In 1969 and 1970, Green paid Mr. Agnew \$8,000 a year, four payments of \$2,000 each in both years. In 1971 and 1972, he paid Mr. Agnew \$6,000 a year, three payments of \$2,000 each in both years.

In Green's meetings with Vice President Agnew, he frequently asked about Federal engineering contracts for his company, and Mr. Agnew generally indicated to him that he was attempting to be as helpful as he could. Green soon realized, however, that the Vice President did not exercise any substantial control over Federal work, and, in fact, the Green company received only one Federal job during this period.

The payments were discontinued after December 1972, because of the investigation conducted by the United States Attorney's Office into

corruption in Baltimore County, Maryland.

Over the six year period between 1966 and 1972, Green's cash payments to Mr. Agnew totalled approximately \$50,000.

III. The Relationship Between Mr. Agnew and Lester Matz

Lester Natz has been an engineer in Maryland for approximately

24 years. We is the President of Matz, Childs and Associates, Inc., and

Matz, Childs and Associates of Rockville, Inc., two Maryland engineering

companies. John C. Childs is his principal business associate in these

two companies. Matz has tendered his complete cooperation to the Government in this investigation. The Government has not entered into any

agreement with him as to what consideration, if any, he may expect in return

for his cooperation, other than the assurance that his own truthful disclosures

to the Government will not be used against him in any criminal prosecution.

At the Government's request, Matz has executed a sworn written statement

that recounts his relationship with Mr. Agnew. His testimony, the

corroborative testimony of Childs and other witnesses, and various corroborative documents, would prove the following:

Between 1956 and 1963, Matz and Childs supplied various engineering services to private developers, principally in the metropolitan

Baltimorz area. Although they wanted to do as much public work as possible for the Baltimore County Government, they found it extremely difficult to receive any substantial amount of county work. They observed that a relatively small number of engineering companies received most of the substantial county engineering work during these years, and that most, if not all, of these companies were closely associated with County Administration or public officials. They simply could not break into this group, despite their repeated efforts to do so.

They, therefore, welcomed Mr. Agnew's candidacy for Baltimore

County Executive in 1962 because they believed that his election would

present their company with an opportunity to be one of the few engineering

companies that, they believed, would inevitably form around his administra
tion and receive most of the substantial county engineering work. Matz had

known Mr. Agnew casually for possibly two years, and during the 1962 cam
paign, he and Childs made a \$500 cash contribution directly to Mr. Agnew.

Prior to the 1962 election, Natz had also worked professionally with one of Mr. Agnew's close associates. Indeed, by this time the three of them (Mr. Agnew, Matz and the close associate) had already begun to develop what would in the next four years become a close personal friendship. Very shortly after Mr. Agnew assumed office as County Executive for Baltimore County, Matz was contacted by the close associate. During this conversation the close associate told Matz that the two of them were going to make a lot of money under the Agnew administration. Although he did not elaborate on this comment, Matz inferred from what he said during this conversation that under the Agnew administration, the two of them could espect substantial favors from the Baltimore County Government.

Shortly thereafter Matz was invited by the close associate to meet with Mr. Agnew. At this meeting there was no specific discussion about payments for county work, but Mr. Agnew told Matz thathe had a lot of "confidence" in his close associate. Matz inferred from what Mr. Agnew said during this meeting that he should work through the close associate and make any payments through him.

After Mr. Agnew became County Executive, the close associate contracted Matz and asked him to prepare a chart which would set forth the amounts of money that could reasonably be expected from engineers on the various kinds and sizes of consulting contracts that the county generally awarded. Matz calculated the profits that could generally be anticipated under the various types of contracts, and he determined that, on the average, 5% of the fee was not unreasonable, although the percentage varied depending on the size and nature of the contract. He gave a copy of the chart to the close associate. The chart showed the expected profit on each type of contract and the percentage that engineers could reasonably afford to pay on it. Matz later showed his retained copy of this schedule to Mr. Agnew in his office and told him that he had given a copy to the close associate. Mr. Agnew looked at the chart and thanked Matz for his effort on the matter. Matz cannot recall today whether Mr. Agnew returned the copy to him.

When Matz gave a copy of this schedule to the close associate, he was told that he would be expected to make payments to the close associate for county contracts. The close associate said that as Matz's company received fees from the County, payments were to be made to him in the appropriate percentages, 5% on engineering contracts and 2-1/2% on surveying contracts. He led Matz to believe that this money would be given to Mr. Agnew. These payments were not described by the close associate as "political contributions"; they were payments made in return for contracts.

Thereafter, Matz discussed this proposition with Childs. They were not surprised that payments would be necessary because it was generally understood that engineers had been making such payments for consulting work in a number of Maryland jurisdictions. They agreed that this would be a satisfactory arrangement. In fact, they were delighted that they would be among the small group of engineers who would be close to the Agnew administration and that they would, therefore, receive their share of the substantial county engineering consulting work. Although the 5% payments were not insubstantial, the company could afford to make them, and Matz and Childs both believed that the payments would make a substantial difference in the amount of work that their company would receive from the county.

During the balance of Mr. Agnew's tenure as County Executive, Matz and Childs would find out what contracts were coming up in the county, and Matz would then contact the close associate to ask him for as many of these contracts as possible. The close associate always seemed well aware of the work to be let, and from time to time, he would advise Matz that his company had been awarded a particular contract. Matz then knew that, under their arrangement, the necessary payments were due, and he would therefore deliver the required cash payments personally to the close associate in the latter's office. On most occasions, Matz placed the necessary cash in plain white envelopes. Usually he paid in installments rather than in one total payment in advance. Matz and Childs believed that even if they had refused to make these payments their company would have received some county contracts, but that, as before, the company would not have received any substantial amount of work. In short, they believed that the payments made a great difference in the amount of work they received.

At first Matz and Childs personally generated the necessary cash to make these payments. As the size of the various cash payments they were making impreased, however, they found it necessary to employ other methods by which so generate these cash funds in their company. These methods violated the Internal Revenue Code and were designed to obscure the purpose for which the cash was used.

During the first year or two of the Agnew administration in Baltimore County, the company's county work increased. Matz, however, was not satisfied because he believed that his company was entitled to an even larger share of the county's work due to his reliability in making payments. He told the close associate that he was dissatisfied, and the close associate arranged a meeting with Mr. Agnew. The three men met at Mr. Agnew's house. At this meeting, Matz complained that his company had not received enough county work. Both Mr. Agnew and the close associate promised that they would help the company to receive more county work, and in particular, Mr. Agnew told him that he would speak on Matz's behalf to the appointed county officials who were nominally responsible for the selection of engineers for county consulting contracts.

In the 1966 gubernatorial campaign, Matz and Childs made campaign contributions to Mr. Agnew, in part because they believed that Mr. Agnew would make an excellent Governor. They also, however, had another substantial reason for supporting Mr. Agnew. Under Governor Tawes's administration, their company had not received any substantial amount of work from the Maryland State Roads Commission. They realized that their inability to secure any substantial amount of State work was the result of the fact that they were not among the small group of engineering firms that were closely associated with the Tawes administration and that had received most of the State work awarded by that administration. Both men were therefore excited about Mr. Agnew's candidacy because they believed that if he were to be elected Governor, their company could begin to receive substantial amounts of work from the State Roads Commission by continuing to make payments to Mr. Agnew through his agents.

Roads Commission began to generate new projects and to award new contracts, and Matz's company began to receive substantial amounts of State work. On several occasions during the spring and summer of 1967, the close associate calle Matz and attempted to perpetuate the arrangement under which payments had been made for contracts in the past. Matz was reluctant, however, to continue this arrangement, for several reasons. First, he knew that if he paid Governor Agnew through any middleman, the credit to which he was entitled by virtue of these payments would be somewhat diluted because the middleman himself would receive a substantial portion of the credit. Second, he suspected that the close associate had, without Mr. Agnew's knowledge, retained for himself some of the money that had been paid to him by Matz between 1963 and 1966. Third, he knew that Mr. Agnew believed that the close associate had given him poor advice on certain matters that had resulted in bad publicity and embarrassment to Mr. Agnew.

Sometime extly in Governor Agnew's administration, Matz met with Governor Agnew alone in his offices. During this conversation Matz told Mr. Agnew that he believed that the close associate lacked the discretion necessary soffely to represent Mr. Agnew's interests and that sooner or later he would lead the two of them into trouble. Therefore, rather than continuing to pay timough the close associate, Matz suggested that his company establish a savings acrount into which he would deposit the money that he owed on State contracts. After Mr. Agnew left office, Matz could pay him the money accumulated in this acrount, perhaps under the guise of legal fees. Governor Agnew liked the idea, and at a later meeting he referred to the idea again with approval.

These factors and, in particular, these conversations with Mr. Agnew, led Matz to conclude that he could dispense with the close associate and pay Mr. Agnew directly. He therefore told the close associate that he would take care of his obligations directly. Subsequently, however, he abandoned the savings account idea because he feared that it would involve too many records of payments and thereby lead to the disclosures of the scheme. Instead, he decided to make his cash payments to Mr. Agnew directly.

The amount of work that Matz's company received from the State Roads

Commission continued to increase substantially, and, on at least one occasion,

Matz was asked by Wolff if he was taking care of his "obligations" with respect
to his cortracts. Matz told Wolff thathe was taking care of his obligations

"directly."

Although Matz's company received several substantial State contracts in 196%, he made no payments that year. On the basis of his experience, he assumed that he would have to my 5% of the fees that his company received from the State on these contracts. The contracts and fees that their company was receiving from the State Roads Commission were much more substantial than those it had ever received before, and Matz and Childs therefore decided that they would defer making payments until after they had received fees from the State.

No payment was made until the summer of 1968, by which time Matz knew that he was behind in his obligations. He was anxious to fulfill them because he wanted to maintain his reputation as a man who could be trusted to fulfill his obligations, in order to ensure that he would continue to receive substantial amounts of work from the State Roads Commission. Although his company was in a financial postion to make the large payment that was due, he knew that it would be extremely difficult to generate safely the substantial amount of necessary cash, particularly if he continued to rely exclusively upon his usual methods for generating the money with which to make cash payments.

Sometime in late June or early July, 1968, Matz calculated that he owed Covernor Agnew approximately \$20,000 on the basis of 5% of the fees that his company had already received from the state. He reviewed this calculation with Childs, who agreed with it. They did not believe that they could safely generate this amount of cash from within the company and, therefore, decided to go outside the company. Matz approached an old client and friend of his who was in a business in which he customarily dealt in large sums of cash. Since Matz knew that he would be receiving substantial fees from the state within the next several months, on which he would owe Governor Agnew approximately an additional \$10,000, he told his friend that he needed \$30,000 in cash in the very near future. He did not disclose to his friend why he needed this money. They agreed upon the following scheme: Matz's company would by corporate check "lend" his friend \$30,000; his friend would then generate \$30,000 in cash through his own company which he would return to Matz. The "loan" would be repaid to Matz's company by \$1,700 quarterly checks for principal and interest; and Matz would return these "loan repayments" to his friend in cash. This scheme was satisfactory to Matz because his regular procedures were adequate to generate \$1,700 in cash on a quarterly basis.

The friend reluctantly agreed to assist Matz in this manner. He immediately generated \$20,000 in cash, which he delivered to Matz. Matz showed this \$20,000 in cash to Childs before he delivered it to Governor Agnew. The friend promised that he would supply Matz with the additional \$10,000 in cash as soon as he could generate it, and he did so within the following several months. Thereafter, the "loan" repayment scheme was implemented.

Matz then called Covernor Agnew's office and set up an appointment with the Covernor. The meeting occurred in mid-July, 1968.

Mitz met with the Covernor alone in his office and handed him a manila envelope that contained \$20,000 in cash. Matz expressed his appreciation for the substantial state contracts that his company had received and told the Covernor that the envelope contained the money that his company "owed" in connection with these contracts. The meeting was a very short one and very little else was said.

further payments for state work to Mr. Agnew while he was Governor of Maryland. During the 1968 national campaign, however, Matz's firm contributed to Mr. Agnew's campaign. He also acted as a fund raiser for Mr. Agnew in 1968. Matz also recalls that at some point in 1967, Governor Agnew called him and asked him to contribute \$5,000 to Nelson Rockefeller's campaign for the Republican Presidential nomination, a campaign which Mr. Agnew was then publically supporting. Matz asked if he wanted cash or a check, and Mr. Agnew asked for a check which Matz subsequently sent to him. When Rockefeller later withdrew, Mr. Agnew returned the money to Matz with a letter.

A couple of months after Mr. Agnew had assumed the office of Vice President, Matz decided that it was time for his company to make another payment in connection with centracts that had been awarded by the State of Maryland under the Agnew administration. He was willing to make this payment, even though Mr. Agnew no longer controlled the contracts awarded by the Maryland State Roads Commission, because he wanted to maintain his reputation as a man who would meet his obligations in order to influence Vice President Agnew to assist him in securing federal engineering contracts for his company.

Natz called the Vice President's office in Washington and set up ar appointment to meet with Mr. Agnew. On a piece of yellow legal-size paper, Matz calculated the sum then owed to Mr. Agnew for work received by Matz's company from the State of Maryland. He took this piece of paper with him when he went to the Vice President's office. He met with Mr. Agnew, showed him the calculations, and briefly reviewed them for him. He then handed him an envelope, containing approximately \$10,000 in cash. Matz told him that the envelope contained the money that his company "owed" in connection with the State Roads Commission contracts that had been awarded wader Mr. Agnew's administration in Annapolis. Mr. Agnew placed this envelope in his desk drawer. Matz also told the Vice President that the company might "owe" him more money in the future as these contracts continued to generate fees, and that he would fulfill these coligations. They agreed that Matz was to call Mr. Agnew's secretary when he was ready to make the next payment and to tell her that he had more "information" for Mr. Agnew. This was to be a signal to Mr. Agnew that Matz had more money for him. After this meeting, Matz returned to Baltimore and told Childs of the payment. He also told Childs that he was shaken by his own actions because he had just made a pay-off to the Vice President of the United States. Matz also told Wolff, who was then working or about to begin working on the Vice President's staff, that he had made a direct payment to the Vice President.

Although Matz believes that he made several additional cash payments totalling approximately \$5,000 to the Vice President, he never completely fulfilled his obligations to Mr. Agnew with respect to the State Roads Commission contracts, in part because Mr. Agnew had very little, if any influence with respect to federal engineering contracts.

Sometime in late 1970 or early 1971, Matz received a telephone call from the close associate who told him that there was an upcoming federal project and that some or all of the engineering contracts could be controlled by the Vice President. He told Matz that, as usual, he would be expected to make a payment in order to receive a contract. At first, Matz resisted on the ground that he was entitled to this job without a payment by virtue of his prior payments, but the close associate insisted, and Matz agreed to a payment of \$2,500.00. Matz asked that the contract be awarded to a certain small company in which Matz, Childs and Associates had an interest, and that small company was later awarded the contract. Thereafter Matz received another telephone call from the close associate, during which they agreed that the payment would be made in the Vice President's office.

Matz contacted the president of the small company and explained that a payment was necessary in connection with the contract. The man at first balked and refused to make any such payment, but he subsequently agreed to participate. An appointment was then made for Matz to meet with Vice President Agnew in the latter's office in Washington. This meeting occurred in the spring of 1971. The evidence is somewhat contradictory as to whether or not the close associate was present at the meeting. Matz placed an envelope containing the \$2,500 cash on the Vice President's desk and stated that the envelope contained the money required for the contract. When he left the meeting, the envelope had not been removed from the desk, but moments later Matz reentered the office and noticed that the envelope was gone. Matz received \$1,000 from the president of the small company as his contribution to this payment.

In the spring of 1972, the close associate called Matz and asked him for \$10,000 for the 1972 Nixon-Agnew campaign. Matz declimed. When the close associate continued to press him, Matz complained about these solicitations to Mr. Agnew who told Matz to say that he gave at the office.

Respectfully submitted,

George Beall

United States Attorney

Barnet D.

Assistant United States Attorney

Russell T. Baker, Jr. Assistant United States Attorney

Ronald S. Liebman

Assistant United States Attorney

October 10, 1973